

The Sampson County Board of Commissioners convened for their regular meeting at 7:00 p.m. on Monday, July 7, 2014 in the County Auditorium, 435 Rowan Road in Clinton, North Carolina. Members present: Chairman Jefferson Strickland, Commissioner Albert D. Kirby, Jr. and Commissioner Billy Lockamy. Commissioner Harry Parker was absent. Vice Chairman Jarvis McLamb arrived late, as noted below.

The Chairman convened the meeting and led the invocation. Commissioner Lockamy then led the Pledge Allegiance.

Approval of Agenda

Upon a motion made by Commissioner Kirby and seconded by Commissioner Lockamy, the Board voted unanimously to approve the agenda with the addition of a Closed Session for the purpose consultation with the County Attorney.

Roads

Monthly Report - NCDOT District Engineer Keith Eason was present to address questions or concerns from the Board or citizens in attendance. Mr. Eason reported that utility issues on Keith Road were coming to a head, so the road could be built this season and paved in the spring or summer of next year. He stated that resurfacing of 15 miles of roadway should be completed within the next few months. Mr. W. T. Stokes reported that an issue he had previously reported had been resolved.

Commissioner McLamb arrived at this time.

Item 1: Planning and Zoning Items

RZ-5-14-4 The Chairman opened the hearing and recognized Planning Director Mary Rose who reviewed the request to rezone approximately 79.36 acres located at 75 Runion Lane from RA-Residential Agriculture to I-Industrial. Ms. Rose explained that at the Board's previous meeting, the matter had been remanded back to the Planning Board for further deliberation and consideration of a zoning consistency statement. She reported that the Planning Board had reheard the findings of fact and voted unanimously to approve the request based upon the findings of fact and zoning consistency statement presented by the Planning staff. Ms. Rose provided evidence that the site had been cleaned up and violations corrected as requested by the Planning Department prior to the zoning consideration. Commissioner Kirby inquired about the opposition noted in email correspondence provided in the previous meeting agenda

materials. It was noted that the property owner's attorney's response was included in the agenda materials for the present hearing. Commissioner Lockamy asked about the owner's intended use for the property, and Ms. Rose explained that the Planning Board and Board of Commissioners were charged with considering all the potential uses within the requested zoning classification, not just what the applicant intended to use the rezoned property for. She did note that the applicant had stated publicly that he would like to pursue a sand mining operation. However, she stated, the applicant could change his mind and want to do other operations within the same classification. Mining would be a special use within the Industrial zoning district, so as an added layer, the applicant would have to submit a special use permit request to the Planning Board with a site specific plan showing he had contacted all state and local approving authorities, including NCDOT, DENR, etc. to determine suitability for the intended use. Commissioner Kirby asked if there were any other concerns raised by the person opposed other than the allegations/issues with the applicant's property in the Chesapeake area, and Ms. Rose stated that she only had what had been provided, but an adjacent owner to the proposed rezoning property was present to speak. The Chairman recognized the applicant for comments:

Curk Lane, True Line Surveying: Appearing representing the applicant who has a ruptured disc from a vacation accident. The piece of property in question is currently zoned Agricultural. It was used for a junk car salvage yard. The majority of that property has been cleaned up. The violations that you have pictures of in April were inherited, not caused by the current owner and applicant. They were there when he purchased the property. He has since cleaned it up, gotten it all clear as far as violations are concerned. The highest and best use, he feels, for this property is Industrial use, much like the asphalt plant across Highway 24 and the brickyard adjacent to his property across the railroad track, but on his side of [Highway] 24. They are currently four-laning [Highway] 24 in front of his property, so Sampson County and DOT infrastructure is in place for industrial use. Rail is right there for industrial use as well. We are requesting to rezone to Industrial. As such time in the future when an industrial property becomes available, he will have to come back with a site specific plan and application that is site specific. Our first step is to rezone it from Agriculture Residential to Industrial and then to come back for the specific use.

Commissioner Kirby: Is your opposition for one of your intended uses?

Curk Lane: In a perfect world, if everything pans out exactly like the owner wants it, he'd like to mine it for fill sand like that used to build Highway 24. And, in turn, that will eventually, when all is said and done, that will be a 70 acre or so stormwater pond. Any environmental impact that applies to the property would be handled by permitting through the Division of Water Quality or DENR. It would have to be monitored by them throughout the duration of the project, much like any other commercial project. The Army Corps of Engineers will have to be contacted, and state stormwater. There

was another concern about traffic along Highway 24, what an industrial use at that location would incur. Thanks to DOT, we'll have a four lane highway in front of that property which will alleviate a lot of that traffic. There's also two entrances to this property, separated on either side of the brick mill, giving space for ingress and egress to help with some of the traffic coming and going. There was concern about a stream being effected. The stream is actually upstream from us. Their runoff hits us; our runoff won't hit them. As far as a permitting process, we can't increase any discharge that is currently out there now. Any stormwater discharge would have to be what is called diffused flow. We would have to capture it in our impervious pond and diffuse it.

Commissioner Kirby inquired about the attorney representing the owner in the Chesapeake area and if the inherited violations were similar.

Curk Lane: What he bought was a wharf. A person had started development of a wharf, quit and left it in disrepair, on water, half built, half protected, and walked away. Part of the agreement for buying the property was when he bought it from the bank was that he bought it "pig in the blanket" including the violations, which he had to fix.

The Chairman called for comments, and the following were received:

Jerry Lucas: I am opposed. I have to be. If the Board approves the rezoning, it will be the third hit on our property within the last year. The first being when the State of North Carolina decided to declare eminent domain and take my front yard. The second was a result of a vote by this Board here to rezone property up next to me to Industrial, and now I have to contend with an asphalt plant next to me. If this zoning is approved, this is going to be the third hit to me within the last year. At the first Planning Board meeting, the vote was 3-2 against recommending the rezoning. They had another meeting, and at the second meeting, and without any input from the property owners, the vote changed to 4-0 in favor of it. I'm perplexed; I don't understand that. If there's a sand mining operation on this property, there only one viable driveway on the property right now, Runion Lane, next door to me. When Highway 24 is completed, anything coming out of that driveway would have no choice but to turn east and accelerate right behind my house. That's giving me some major concerns, and my neighbors. I'm representing two older neighbors who have some health problems and couldn't be here. We were told at the Planning Board meeting that possibly a hundred trucks a day could be coming out of that driveway. There is another driveway I have found out on the other side of the brick mill, but it is pretty narrow and would need some improvements. I would recommend if this is approved I would like to see a condition where those trucks would have to use that driveway. At least it would take them farther down the road. They wouldn't be accelerating by my house, because this is some of my concerns. My first concern is the blowing sand and dust. I don't think there's any of you ever followed a dump truck of dirt that you wanted to get close to it. I know they have to have them covered, but sand is going to be blowing, and when they come by my house

I'm going to have to contend with that. From Runion Drive to my house is only 300 feet. As a result of the design of Highway 24, I'm going to have a deceleration lane in front of my house that will make the turn into Microwave Tower Road. Which brings up another concern for me, and these concerns come right out of the Environmental Impact Statement prepared for the DOT for this Highway 24 project. The first concern I have that comes out of that statement is noise. The report states that the new lanes alone will raise the noise level by 10 decibels. You can imagine what 100 dump trucks a day accelerating by my house is going to raise noise levels to. My major concern is exhaust emissions. The Environmental Impact Statement says that where you have breakdown lanes or deceleration lanes - which I will have in front of my house - that the emissions will occur in increased concentration. Included are 7 known carcinogens. I don't want to see 100 trucks a day accelerating by my house, adding more cancer-causing agents to the air that me and my neighbors are going to have to breathe. Just because this property is in the industrial corridor, you don't need to zone it for industrial use. At least consider rezoning it for a real industry. After all this dirt is dug and hauled away, Sampson County will be stuck with a big hole in your industrial corridor that can never be used. There has to be a better use for the property.

In response to Mr. Lucas, Ms. Rose noted that all adjacent property owners were notified of the second planning board meeting and had the opportunity to speak. She further noted that any operation at the location would have to have a DOT approved driveway permit, so should not be a condition of approval for the Board of Commissioners. The Chairman closed the hearing.

Commissioner Kirby voiced sympathy for Mr. Lucas' concerns, but noted that budgetary concerns caused the Board to have to look seriously at such requests which had the potential for industrial footprints and jobs. Upon a motion made by Commissioner Kirby and seconded by Commissioner Lockamy, the Board voted unanimously to approve rezoning request RZ-5-14-4, accepting the presented findings of fact and making the following zoning consistency statement: *Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of North Carolina General Statute 153A-341, the Sampson County Board of Commissioners does hereby find and determine that the recommendation of the ordinance amendment RZ-5-14-4 is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Sampson County Land Use Plan and other long range planning documents due to the fact this property is located along a major thoroughfare and within an Industrial Growth Corridor per Map 2-1 of the Sampson County Land Development Plan Future Land Use Map.*

RZ-6-14-1 The Chairman opened the hearing and recognized Planning Director Mary Rose who reviewed the request to rezone approximately 28.22 acres located along Harnett-Dunn Highway and Greenpath Road from MRD-Mixed Residential to RA-Residential Agriculture. She explained that the Planning staff considered the matter an oversight in the original zoning. No one appeared either in support or opposition of the request at the Planning Board hearing. The floor was opened for comments and none

were received; therefore, the hearing was closed. Upon a motion made by Commissioner Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner McLamb, the Board voted unanimously to approve rezoning request RZ-6-14-1, accepting the presented findings of fact and making the following zoning consistency statement: *Whereas, in accordance with the provisions of North Carolina General Statute 153A-341, the Sampson County Board of Commissioners does hereby find and determine that the recommendation of the ordinance amendment RZ-6-14-1 is consistent with the goals and objectives of the Sampson County Land Use Plan for residential growth due to the fact this property is located within a portion of the County designated as a Residential Growth Area in Section 2 of the Sampson County Land Use Plan (Future Use Map). This section further identifies appropriate uses for this area would include primarily residential development.*

Item 2: Reports and Presentations

Recognition of Retirees Retirees Sandra Hines and Drew Melvin were presented with plaques in recognition of their years of service with the County.

Item 3: Action Items

Public Hearing - Consideration of Extension of Existing Agreement to Provide Performance Based Incentives for Economic Development Project The Chairman called the hearing to order and recognized John Swope who explained that this hearing and the hearing to follow were in follow-up to previous hearings held on June 17, 2013 regarding grant back incentives for an economic development prospect, and that maps were available should the public have interest and questions. The purpose of the hearing was to receive public comment with regard to the County's intention to extend its incentive agreement with the economic development prospect (which expires on July 19) for an additional six-month period to allow the company to complete its preliminary work for the site acquisition and project start up. County Attorney Joel Starling explained that the action would be to approve a short amendment to the existing Incentive Agreement. He noted that the only two substantive changes were the extension of the effective date and a slight modification of approximately \$10,000 to the amount of direct investment anticipated by the company. It was noted that the document was not being provided to anyone other the Board as the County was subject to a confidentiality agreement with the prospect company. All of the required information had been provided, however, in the hearing advertisement. There were no comments from the floor. Upon a motion made by Commissioner Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner McLamb, the Board voted unanimously to approve the amendment to the Incentives Agreement.

Public Hearing - Consideration of Extension of Existing Option Agreement The Chairman called the hearing to order, and again recognized Mr. Swope and Mr. Starling. Mr. Starling explained that the Board was being asked to approve a document

which extends the term of an option previously entered into with the company, for a same six month term as the incentive agreement extension discussed in the previous hearing. It also corrects the anticipated employment from 50 employees to 79 employees. The floor was opened for comments, and the following were received:

Glenn Grey: I am here representing Enviva. I just want to take a moment to reinforce our commitment to moving this project to Sampson County. We've been moving forward with engineering. We've been moving forward with equipment purchases. We've already made significant commitment with equipment purchases. We've got equipment with 8 and 10-month delivery, so we've got to get this equipment on order. Things have not moved as quickly as we had anticipated getting all our permits. That's the reason why we are asking for this extension and on these options. We have not been able to secure them in the time we did on our other projects. We're moving forward with the purchases, and as soon as our permits are delivered from DENR, I think you'll see activity within weeks. We appreciate your consideration.

Commissioner Kirby stated that Mr. Grey was good enough to share with him how this project would differ from Enviva's others that had had some negative consequences, so any time that could be articulated that would be good. Mr. Grey stated that the site proposed for Sampson County was approximately 180 acres, plus an option on 20 acres to allow for an additional buffer. They planned to disturb only 80 acres, which would leave the rest in pine as a buffer. Commissioner Kirby stated that an engineer had also told him there would be more sophisticated equipment as well, and Mr. Grey stated all control equipment had been stepped up several notches. The company had learned with construction of each of its plants. The hearing was closed. Upon a motion made by Commissioner Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner McLamb, the Board voted unanimously to approve the amendment to the Option Agreement.

Appointments - Workforce Development Board This item was tabled.

Appointments - JCPC Upon a motion made by Commissioner McLamb and seconded by Commissioner Kirby, the Board voted unanimously to reappoint the following members to the JCPC Board:

Terrace Miller	Clinton City Schools	June 2014-June 2015
Darold Cox	General Public	June 2014-June 2015
Billy Frank Jackson	General Public	June 2014-June 2015
Jimmy Thornton	Sheriff's Department	June 2014-June 2016
Ken Jones	Mental Health	June 2014-June 2016
Albert Kirby	Commissioner	June 2014-June 2016
Sarah Bradshaw	Social Services	June 2014-June 2016
Wanda Robinson	Health	June 2014-June 2016

Raymond Spell	Parks and Recreation	June 2014-June 2016
Tracy Arrington	Chief Court Counselor	June 2014-June 2016
Kennie Buchanon	R.L.E.	June 2014-June 2016
Edwin Causey	County Manager	June 2014-June 2016
Clem Mason	General Public	June 2014-June 2016
Nate Bell	Business Rep.	June 2014-June 2016
Tommy Macon	County Schools	June 2014-June 2016

Item No. 4: Consent Agenda

Commissioner Kirby asked that the minutes presented for approval be revised to more clearly show that he was present, as he arrived late due to work obligations. Upon a motion made by Commissioner Kirby and seconded by Commissioner Lockamy, the Board voted unanimously to approve the Consent Agenda items as follows:

- a. Approved the minutes of the June 5, 2014 and June 10, 2014 meetings (as corrected)
- b. Adopted a resolution proclaiming Sampson County as a Purple Heart County (Copy filed in Inc. Minute Book _____, Page _____.)
- c. Authorized the execution of the contract with The Wooten Company for administrative services associated with the Single Family Rehabilitation (SFR) Housing Grant Program (Copies filed in Inc. Minute Book _____, Page _____.)
- d. Approved the late disabled veterans property tax exclusions for Cynthia Blount and Robert McKethan
- e. Approved the following tax refunds:

#6212	James G. McGowan	\$641.29
#6247	Hosea Wayne Boyette	\$181.10
#6265	Dennis Angel Menendez	\$160.08
#6270	Edward Leon Parker	\$198.43
#6263	Christopher Lynn Tyndall	\$116.57
#6240	Deborah Taylor	\$148.61
#6241	Lee Taft Matthews	\$290.13
#6251	Helen & Upton Tyson	\$414.79

- f. Approved the following budget amendments:

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Health/WIC</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12551100	581002	Transfer to Other Programs		16,723.00
12551670	512100	Salaries	113,825.00	
12551670	512700	Longevity	122.00	
12551670	518100	FICA		154.00
12551670	518120	Medicaid FICA		37.00
12551670	518200	Retirement		250.00
12551670	518300	Group Insurance	9,672.00	
12551670	518400	Dental Insurance		30.00
12551670	518600	Workman's Comp		2,274.00
12551670	518901	401K	291.00	
12551670	526200	Department Supplies		199.00
12551670	529700	Lab Supplies		57.00
12551670	531100	Travel		1,743.00

<u>REVENUE</u>				
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
12535110	404000	General Admin State Assistance		16,723.00
12535167	404000	WIC State Assistance	16,723.00	

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		<u>Clinton Supplemental CE</u>		
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Description (Object of Expenditure)</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
26659100	581001	Transfer of Funds to Clinton City BOE	54,000.00	

<u>REVENUE</u>				
<u>Code Number</u>		<u>Source of Revenue</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>
26031840	412000	Current Year Taxes	34,000.00	
26031840	414000	Prior Year Taxes	20,000.00	

County Manager Reports

County Manager Ed Causey noted that at the Board's June 26th work session, the Board adopted an interim budget for the month of July and asked that budgets be prepared for all departments with a 5% reduction, not deferrals. He reported that on Monday, June 30th, he met with all department heads to advise them how to prepare the information in response to the Board's request. He noted that the following day he, Finance Officer David Clack and Assistant County Manager Susan Holder would begin meeting with every department head to review their proposals and hope to be finished by July 9th. Because of the seriousness of the request and its potential long term impacts, he asked the Board to consider convening their next budget work session early in the morning of whatever date they would choose, with the expectation of working all day to give all of the department heads, and the funding partners such as the school systems, the opportunity to share with the Board the impacts of the 5% reductions.

Recognizing these reductions could have impacts well after December, he encouraged the Board to invite all candidates for commissioner seats to attend the meeting.

Commissioner Kirby asked if the 5% included capital expenditures, and Mr. Causey clarified that staff's understanding was that the reductions were to be real time, permanent cuts that could be carried over into subsequent years. He noted that Mr. Clack would be asked to calculate other impacts or other costs that would have to be considered with regard to the cuts for the total products, as well the mandated vs. non-mandated programs.

The Board determined their availability for July 23, 2014. Chairman Strickland stated that this had been a difficult process for the Board and staff and employees, and there was a fatigue factor that had set in. He encouraged the members of the Board to take home and consider as an alternative adjusting the budget by another \$300,000 to lower the tax rate increase to 4.5 cent. If they felt this was workable, he asked the Board to call the County Manager.

Public Comments

The following public comments offered:

Walter Vann: I spent 26 years in the military. My problem is my family finally moved back to Sampson County about four years ago in my mother's residence, and I had a problem getting a permit. My brother's having the same problem getting a permit. He's been homeless for the last two years. He's been living with his son. He's trying to get a permit to get his electricity turned on, and every time he goes to get he runs into a roadblock with zoning. It don't make sense. He wrecked his car. His electricity was turned off because he worked out of state, on his way back he had an accident and he broke his ankle and his legs. He's been disabled since, and his income would be about \$700 a month. Last year he went to Mr. Fannin, and I told him to tell Mr. Fannin not to come, and told him what I went through. I told him he would have to clean up his residence, get it neat because I read the guidelines dealing with zoning. As long as it is out of sight, I guess it is okay, because I've got some stuff behind my barn that is out of sight. They came out there today, as a matter of fact they told him he couldn't even come to speak at this meeting. His brother (no name provided) interjected that they told him he had to have some paper or something. He stated that told him he had to have the Health Department check the septic tank; he had two tires, and other debris. He stated he didn't understand why he would have to move his mobile home because it was not 80 feet from the road when there were homes on the road not 80 feet from the road. (Photographs of Mr. Vann's original problem were provided.)

Commissioner Kirby stated he personally would talk with planning about the situation.

Ann Knowles: Our budget will be finalized in few days, and this is a time that I asked my veterans to come out and show you who you're looking at when you look at my budget and at that 5% cut. (Asked veterans to stand.) This is your 5% cut; this is your veteran's program. The only place that we can cut is the Veteran's Day program and the Memorial Day program and my travel where I take veterans to the hospital, or go to their homes, or go to my training, so I can be the best County service officer. I want you to look at them so when you get to my budget and you say 5%, that's all she can do; the rest is supplies and computers and copiers. That's all the "fluff," if you want to call it, in my budget. It's really disheartening that we have had to come to this. I'd rather my veterans come to a meeting that we are celebrating them because what is our county about, why is our county here, but because of them. I've been told by several don't worry about your budget, but you and I know that that is not the right thing. Mr. Causey has stated, it is across the board. No one is exempt. I knew better than to take it lightly. He told me they were permanent cuts. I certainly can't cut the telephone or the copier machine, or the fax machine. I'm certainly not cutting the two people that work in that office salaries. They don't get paid anything now. As a matter of fact, I'm begging for that pay plan. I want you to feel our part. The County Manager said I need 7 cents to dig out of the hole, and now we're talking about 4.5 cents. Next year, he's going to come back and say y'all got to permanently cut again because we're still not digging out of this hole. I don't want to pay more taxes, but do I want to get our County back on solid ground. Do I want County employees to quit worrying are they going to cut my days? Are they going to cut my salary? Everyone man and woman standing deserves a Veterans Day program. It is the least we can do for them. I want y'all to go home and think about 7 cents so we can have a pay study, we can have our County back the way it was. Stressful? You're right. We've worked on budget for one solid year, and we're no closer today than we were one year ago.

Tex Howard, President of Sampson County Veterans Council: We just approved the Purple Heart [resolution]. This is a subject dear to me. I am wounded warrior; two time recipient of the Purple Heart. If we can recognize the Purple Heart recipients to be a Purple Heart county, we should carry our patriotism just a little further and not do anything that will cut your veterans. When you are cutting your veterans, you are also cutting the ones that received the Purple Heart. You're cutting the ones, although they are not here, the ones that died for the cause for this county, state and nation. On behalf of the veterans of this county, state and nation, I recommend and desire you approve the issue that Ms. Knowles is asking for.

Frederick Maxwell: I've been here for 7 years, and a member VFW and a former officer of the Veteran's Council. In those 7 years, I've watched the Veteran's Council and I've watched the VFW struggling with diminishing funds but increasing members and increasing responsibilities. In those 7 years, I've watched Mrs. Knowles several times wondering how she was going to make things happen for the Veteran's Council. The second and third order effect of this diminishing funds is putting the load right on the

veterans because we take up the slack anyway. If there's nobody available for transportation, another veteran does it. If there's a homeless veteran somewhere, we reach into our pockets because we don't have a fund to provide housing or food. I know it's extremely important for Mrs. Knowles to make those trips for her training because it directly improves our situation. She mentioned the two events that we have, Memorial Day and Veterans Day. Even those, with the funding that we get now, we still reach into our pockets to support those programs. I'm begging you please, don't cut our budget.

Keith Jackson, Plain View Fire Department: I am also President of Sampson County Fire Association. This year we found out we would be effected by the cuts also because of the funding we receive from the County out of the General Fund. My department was established in 1965, and we have served northern Sampson County since that time, and we've kept the same tax rate since 1965. We have been fortunate to have growth in our end of the county. Every department in Sampson County has been challenged to lower their insurance rating. The majority of the departments have. What that means is every taxpayer in a district with a lowered rate gets a lower insurance premium. We did that not to benefit the fire department, but to benefit the taxpayers. Right now, we're in kind of a touchy situation with volunteers in our county. A lot of departments, and our department, with the help of our Board of Directors, made the commitment three years ago to have part-time staff at our department, 7-4 pm, five days a week. This is done out of my budget. I didn't ask for an increase in my tax rate. I didn't come and ask you for any money. Right now, we have departments that cannot staff a truck adequately because quite frankly we don't have the volunteers we used to have, and these fire departments have used these budgets this year to try to add some part-time staff. If we get this 5% cuts, of our General Fund allowance, some departments are not going to be able to do that. I have been a part of this organization for some 20 years now, and a Chief for 16 years. I hope that would be considered because we are at a point where departments are going to have to add staff to be able to do the job that we signed up for when we had a contract with the county. I ask that you consider that along with all emergency services. Emergency services, the department as a whole, has made great strides; added an ambulance, put people on the road. We've got people who can get there now. We're at a higher level than we've ever been, and these cuts are going to put us going backwards.

Closed Session

Upon a motion made by Commissioner Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner Strickland, the Board voted unanimously to go into Closed Session pursuant to GS 143-318.11(a)(3) for consultation with the County Attorney. In Closed Session, the County Attorney briefed the Board on potential condemnation actions to be taken with regard to easements for water line extensions for the well project. No action was taken in Closed Session. The Board returned to the Auditorium. Upon a motion

made by Commissioner McLamb and seconded by Commissioner Kirby, the Board voted unanimously to come out of Closed Session.

Recess to Reconvene

Upon a motion made by Commissioner Lockamy and seconded by Commissioner Kirby, the Board voted unanimously to recess to reconvene on Wednesday, July 23, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. in the County Auditorium for a budget work session.