



**SAMPSON COUNTY**  
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

## **Addendum #1**

RFQ No.: ED-001-26

Project: Professional Architectural and Engineering Services

Joe Britt Warren Business and Industrial Park

Newton Grove, North Carolina

Issued By:

W. Lavelle Jackson

Sampson County Finance Department

406 County Complex Road, Suite 120 (Bldg. C)

Clinton, NC 28328

Date of Addendum: February 24, 2026

RFQ Issue Date: February 2, 2026

SOQ Due Date: March 19, 2026 at 2:00 PM

## **NOTICE TO ALL FIRMS**

This Addendum No. 1 forms a part of RFQ No. ED-001-26 and modifies the original solicitation documents as described herein. All firms shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in their Statement of Qualifications submittal on the "Responder's Certification Form" located in the RFQ packet.

Except as modified by this Addendum, all provisions of the original RFQ shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

## **QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES**

**Question 1: We were wondering if it is possible to submit qualifications for geotechnical services separately for this contract?**

Response: It is unknown at this time whether the A/E firm selected or the County will handle arrangements for geotechnical services.

**Question 2: Are the construction inspections and quality assurance considered materials testing and special inspections? If not, will these services also be solicited under a separate contract?**

Response: It is unknown at this time whether the A/E firm selected or the County will handle arrangements for these services.

**Question 3: If known, what is the anticipated schedule for bidding and construction of this project?**

Response: Following receipt and review of responses to this RFQ and negotiation of A/E service pricing, a potential award is anticipated in the third quarter of 2026. The actual construction timeline will be determined based on project costs and availability of funding sources.

**Question 4: Should this RFQ be viewed as a continuation of prior consultant involvement or as a competitive opportunity to establish a new team for upcoming phases?**

Response: As provided in the RFQ, the selected firm will review the illustrative site Master Plan, Preliminary Engineering Reports, and Traffic Impact Analysis. Based on these documents and the County's development goals, the firm will provide recommendations for alternate designs (if warranted) and develop a phased implementation plan for the site.

**Question 5: Have prior engineering, due diligence, or market studies identified a preferred target tenant profile or building typology that should inform infrastructure and building design?**

Response: An official market study for the park has not been performed. However, several studies and reports have been completed and will be provided to the selected firm upon request. Additional work may be required as the project advances.

**Question 6: Is the County intending to structure this engagement as a phased master services agreement with separate task authorizations?**

Response: Yes.

**Question 7: At this stage, is the expectation conceptual planning or full construction documents for initial infrastructure and/or the 60,000 SF building?**

Response: At the appropriate phase within the development of the site, full construction documents will be required.

**Question 8: Is the proposed building speculative product development or tied to a specific tenant?**

Response: Speculative.

**Question 9: Has utility capacity (water/sewer) been confirmed for full anticipated buildout or only initial phases?**

Response: The existing Master Plan addresses potential water and sewer requirements for currently proposed buildings. It is anticipated that further examination of public water and sewer system capacities will be reviewed and evaluated.

**END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1**